



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/758,524

01/15/2004

Jan G. Jaworski

07148-108002

5670

7590 11/20/2007
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C., P.A.
60 SOUTH SIXTH STREET
SUITE 3300
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

KAM, CHIH MIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1656

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/20/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/758,524

Applicant(s)

JAWORSKI ET AL.

Examiner

Chih-Min Kam

Art Unit

1656

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 September 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 8-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 8-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-2 and 8-11 are pending.

Applicants' amendments filed September 14, 2007 is acknowledged. Applicant's response has been fully considered. Claim 1 has been amended. Therefore, claims 1-2 and 8-11 are examined.

Withdrawn Informalities

2. The previous objection to the specification, regarding the sequences cited in the specification without providing "SEQ ID NO:", is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the specification, and applicants' submission of a new Sequence Listing containing all sequences in the amendment filed September 14, 2007. CRF has been entered.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. The previous rejection of claim 1, under 35 U.S.C. 101, is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment of the claim, and applicants' response at page 4 in the amendment filed September 14, 2007.

Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1, 2 and 8-11 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1, 2 and 8-11 are directed to a polypeptide comprising in the amino-terminal to carboxy-terminal direction: a first polypeptide segment having membrane anchoring properties; joined to a second polypeptide segment having a sequence of residues 75-114 of SEQ ID NO:12 or 14; joined to a third polypeptide segment having at least 40% sequence identity to residues 115-506 of SEQ ID NO:4.

In *University of California v. Eli Lilly & Co.*, 43 USPQ2d 1938, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has held that "A written description of an invention involving a chemical genus, like a description of a chemical species, 'requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, [or] chemical name,' of the claimed subject matter sufficient to distinguish it from other materials". As indicated in MPEP § 2163, the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show that Applicant was in possession of the claimed genus. In addition, MPEP § 2163 states that a representative number of species means that the species which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the genus.

The specification indicates the present invention provides polypeptide with altered elongase KCS (3-ketoacyl CoA synthase) substrate specificity and/or catalytic activity such as the peptides comprises three polypeptide segments, the amino-terminal first polypeptide segment having membrane anchoring properties, joined to a second polypeptide segment having a sequence of residues 75-114 of SEQ ID NO:12 or 14, followed by a third polypeptide segment having at least 40% sequence identity to the C-terminal 392 amino acids of SEQ ID NO:4 (residues 115-506), examples of such polypeptides have the sequences of SEQ ID NO:12 and 14 (page 3, lines 8-18; page 12, lines 5-9), where residues 115-506 of SEQ ID NO:12 and 14 having >99% sequence identity to the residues 115-506 of SEQ ID NO:4, and the substrate specificity (C22:1/C20:1) of SEQ ID NO:12 or 14 resembles that of the wild-type Bn polypeptide (SEQ ID NO:4, Example 3; Tables 4 and 5). The specification further indicates the Bn G307D polypeptide had a higher elongase activity and produced more C22:1 product than the unmodified wild-type Bn polypeptide (SEQ ID NO:4; Example 4; Table 7). While species of the even numbered sequences in SEQ ID NO:8-42 (18 sequences) containing motifs or residues such as GNTSSSS (at positions 423-429 of SEQ ID NO:4), HAGG(R/K)A (at positions 391-396 of SEQ ID NO:4), MGCSAG (at positions 221-226 of SEQ ID NO:4) and/or G307D have been disclosed, the specification does not describe a genus of variants for the third polypeptide segment having at least 40% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:4, when the structure to function/activity correlation is not indicated, and there is substantial variation in the whole genus. Without guidance on the correlation of structure to function/activity of the third polypeptide segment variants, one skilled in the art would not know which residues of the sequence are essential for function/activity. The lack of description on the structure to

function/activity correlation of the third polypeptide segment variants, and the lack of representative species as encompassed by the claims, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise terms that a skilled artisan would not recognize applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicants indicate that the specification discloses how to determine percent sequence identity (see page 10, line 18 through page 11, line 25). Applicants' specification also discloses embodiments of the third polypeptide segment having particular residues or sequence motifs (see, for example, page 12, lines 4-23). In addition, Applicants provide *eighteen* different examples of polypeptides that have a third polypeptide segment having at least 40% sequence identity to residues 115-506 of SEQ ID NO:4. The sequence identity for these eighteen different sequences ranges from 54% up to 100% relative to residues 115-506 of SEQ ID NO:4 (See the even numbered sequences shown in SEQ ID NOs: 8-42). Based on this, the specification provides adequate written description for the third polypeptide segment. Therefore, the rejection should be withdrawn (pages 4-5 of the response).

Applicants' response has been fully considered, however, the arguments are not persuasive because of the following reasons. While the specification discloses *eighteen* polypeptides (i.e., the even numbered sequences in SEQ ID NO:8-42) containing motifs or residues such as GNTSSSS (at positions 423-429 of SEQ ID NO:4), HAGG(R/K)A (at positions 391-396 of SEQ ID NO:4), MGCSAG (at positions 221-226 of SEQ ID NO:4) and/or G307D, the whole genus of the third segment having at least 40% sequence identity to residues 115-506 of SEQ ID NO:4 would contain numerous peptides, in which there are substantial structural

Art Unit: 1656

variations. For example, out of 392 amino acid residues of SEQ ID NO:4 (residues 115-506), 235 amino acid residues at any position can be varied (for 40% sequence homology), and each amino acid can be substituted with at least other 19 L-amino acids. Since there is no structure to function/activity correlation for the peptide variants of residues 115-506 of SEQ ID NO:4 shown, and the whole genus of peptide variants contains numerous sequences, one skilled in the art would not know which peptide variant of residues 115-506 of SEQ ID NO:4 is functional. While there are specific motifs or residues (e.g., page 12, lines 4-23 of the specification) are indicated in the peptide variants, these motifs are not cited in the claims, thus the whole genus contains substantial structural variations. Therefore, applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise terms that a skilled artisan would not recognize applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Conclusion

5. No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1656

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Bragdon can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.
Primary Patent Examiner



CHIH-MIN KAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CMK

September 19, 2007